



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

SEP 12 2017

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Bob Kenney, VP of Virginia Operations
George's Chicken, LLC
19992 Senedo Road
Edinburg, VA 22824

Re: **Expedited Penalty Action and Consent Agreement for Clean Air Act Risk Management Program, Alleged Violations and Proposed Penalty**
Docket No. CAA-03-2017-0175

Dear Mr. Kenney,

On September 12, 2016, the above-referenced Expedited Penalty Action and Consent Agreement ("Consent Agreement") was executed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III (EPA), and filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk. A copy of the fully-executed Expedited Penalty Action is enclosed herein. The Consent Agreement is now binding on EPA and Respondent. EPA has already received and processed your payment.

Please contact me at (215) 814-3285 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Michael Welsh".

Michael Welsh, P.E., RMP Coordinator
Chemical Accident Prevention Program
Oil & Prevention Branch

Enclosures



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION III
1650 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2029

EXPEDITED PENALTY
ACTION AND
CONSENT AGREEMENT
DOCKET NO. CAA-03-2017-0175

RESPONDENT

George's Chicken, LLC
19992 Senedo Road
Edenburgh, VA 22824

FACILITY

George's Chicken, LLC
19992 Senedo Road
Edinburg, VA 22824

On May 24, 2017, an authorized representative of the EPA conducted an inspection of the subject facility to determine compliance with the Risk Management Plan (RMP) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 68 under Section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act (the Act or CAA). EPA found that the Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 112(r) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations, as more fully alleged in the attached RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND PROPOSED PENALTY SHEET (FORM), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

The parties enter into this Expedited Penalty Action and Consent Agreement ("Consent Agreement") to settle the civil violations set forth above for a penalty of **\$2,500.00**. This Consent Agreement commences and concludes this action pursuant to Sections 22.13(b), and 22.18(b)(2), and (3) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits (Consolidated Rules of Practice), 40 C.F.R. §22.13(b), and 22.18(b)(2), and (3). This Consent Agreement is being entered into by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3 (EPA), by its duly delegated official, the Director, Hazardous Site Cleanup Division, and by Respondent pursuant to Section 113(a)(3) and (d) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. §7413(a)(3) and (d).

The settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions.

The Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. The settlement amount was based upon Complainant's consideration of a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the seriousness of the violations and the other factors provided in CAA Section 113(e)(1) and EPA's Combined Enforcement Policy for CAA Section 112(r) Risk Management Program dated June 20, 2012. The Respondent certifies that it has corrected the violations set forth in the Risk Management Program Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations and Proposed Penalty Sheet. The Respondent also certifies that, since its last Risk Management Plan update required pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §68.190, it has not done either of the following: (a) introduced a new regulated substance at the facility in an amount greater than its threshold quantity; or (b) introduced a new process which uses a regulated substance in an amount greater than its threshold quantity. Further, the Respondent agrees that it shall, within 15 calendar days of receipt of an executed copy of this Consent Agreement, make payment of **\$2,500.00** in one of the following forms: 1) Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT), 2) Automated Clearinghouse, 3) Pay.Gov, or 4) a cashier's check or certified check payable to the "US Environmental Protection Agency", with the Docket Number, located at the top right-hand corner of the Consent Agreement, and "Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions - 112(r)" referenced on the check.

1) Payment of the penalty amount by EFT to:

Federal Reserve Bank of New York
ABA 021030004
Account 68010727
SWIFT address FRNYUS33
33 Liberty Street
New York, NY 10045
Beneficiary: Environmental Protection Agency

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION III
1650 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2029

EXPEDITED PENALTY
ACTION AND
CONSENT AGREEMENT
DOCKET NO. CAA-03-2017-0175

2) Payment of the penalty amount by Automated Clearinghouse (ACH) to EPA can be made through the US Treasury using the following information:

US Treasury REX/Cashlink ACH Receiver
ABA: 051036706
Account Number: 310006, Environmental Protection Agency
CTX Format Transaction Code 22 – checking

Physical location of US Treasury Facility:

5700 Rivertech Court
Riverdale, MD 20737

3) Payments made through Pay.gov: Payers can use their credit or debit cards (Visa, MasterCard, American Express & Discover) as well as checking account information to make payments. Follow these steps to make a payment:

- a) You **DO NOT** need a user name and password or account.
- b) Enter **SFO 1.1** in the form search box on the top left side of the screen.
- c) Open the form and follow the on-screen instructions.
- d) Select your type of payment from the "Type of Payment" drop down menu.
- e) Based on your selection, the corresponding line will open and no longer be shaded gray.
- f) Enter the docket number, invoice number or other corresponding information into the field.

4) Payment of the penalty amount by regular US Postal Service shall be sent via certified mail to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Fines and Penalties
Cincinnati Finance Center
P.O. Box 979077
St. Louis, MO 63197-9000

4) Payment of the penalty amount by overnight mail (FedEx or other non-US Postal Service express mail) shall be sent to:

U.S. Bank
1005 Convention Plaza
Mail Station SL-MO-C2GL
St. Louis, MO 63101

Within 24 hours of payment of the EPA Penalty, Respondent shall also send proof of payment to:

Michael Welsh, P.E.
RMP Coordinator
U.S. EPA, Region III (3HS61)
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029
welsh.mike@epa.gov

Lydia Guy
Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. EPA, Region III (3RC00)
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029
guy.lydia@epa.gov

The term "proof of payment" means, as applicable, a copy of the check, confirmation of credit card or debit card payment, confirmation of wire or automated clearinghouse transfer, and any other information required to demonstrate that payment has been made according to EPA requirements, in the amount due, and identified with "EPA Docket No. **CAA-03-2017-0175**."

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION III
1650 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2029

EXPEDITED PENALTY
ACTION AND
CONSENT AGREEMENT
DOCKET NO. CAA-03-2017-0175

The payment made pursuant to this Consent Agreement is a penalty within the meaning of Section 162(f) of the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. §162(f), and, therefore, Respondent shall not claim it as a tax deductible expenditure for purposes of federal, state or local law. This Consent Agreement resolves only the civil claims for the specific violations alleged in the attached Risk Management Program, Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations and Proposed Penalty Sheet. Furthermore, EPA reserves the right to commence an action against any person, including Respondent, in response to any condition which EPA determines may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, welfare, or the environment. In addition, this settlement is subject to all limitations on the scope of resolution and to the reservation of rights set forth in Section 22.18(c) of the Consolidated Rules. Further, EPA reserves any rights and remedies available to it under the Act, the regulations promulgated thereunder, and any other federal laws or regulations for which EPA has jurisdiction, to enforce the provisions of this Consent Agreement, following its filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

Respondent neither admits nor denies the allegations set forth in the attached Risk Management Program, Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations and Proposed Penalty Sheet, but admits that jurisdiction has been established for this Penalty Action. Also, upon EPA final approval of the Consent Agreement, Respondent expressly waives its right to contest the allegations, to a hearing under Section 113(d)(2)(A) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. §7413(d)(2)(A), and to appeal this Order under Section 113 (d)(4) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. §7413(d)(4). Moreover, in entering into this Consent Agreement, the Respondent agrees to bear its own costs and attorney's fees related to this Consent Agreement.

ACCEPT THE PROPOSED PENALTY

I/we consent to the proposed penalty.

DECLINE THE PROPOSED PENALTY

I/we decline the proposed penalty. If you choose to decline this proposed penalty, check the box. You may be subject to formal enforcement action and, in that event, will have an opportunity to a hearing related to any subsequent penalty assessment.


COST OF COMPLIANCE

Respondent certifies that it has expended \$ 1100.00 to correct the alleged violations and to come into compliance.

EFFECTIVE DATE

This Consent Agreement will be effective upon filing.

The undersigned representative of Respondent certifies that he or she is fully authorized by Respondent to execute this Consent Agreement and to legally bind the party whom he or she represents to this Consent Agreement.

Signature  Date: 8-16-17
Name and Title (print) Stasia Leep Refrigeration Supervisor

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION III
1650 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2029

EXPEDITED PENALTY
ACTION AND
CONSENT AGREEMENT
DOCKET NO. CAA-03-2017-0175

SIGNATURE BY COMPLAINANT:





Date: AUG 31 2017

Karen Melvin, Director
Hazardous Site Cleanup Division

FINAL ORDER

Pursuant to Section 113(d)(1) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. §7413(d)(1), and in accordance with the Consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. Part 22, and having relied upon the representations of the parties set forth in the Consent Agreement, I have determined that the penalty assessed herein is based on a consideration of the factors set forth in CAA Section §7413(e)(1) and EPA's Combined Enforcement Policy for CAA Section 112(r) Risk Management Program dated June 20, 2012.

THEREFORE, the foregoing Consent Agreement is hereby approved and incorporated by reference into the Final Order. The Respondent is ORDERED to pay the civil penalty as set forth in the Consent Agreement.



Date: Sept. 12, 2017

Joseph J. Lisa
Regional Judicial Officer

**RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, INSPECTION FINDINGS,
ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND PROPOSED PENALTY SHEET (ENCLOSURE #2)
Program Level 3 Process Checklist**

Facility Name: George's Chicken, LLC	
Subpart D – Program 3 Prevention Program [40 CFR 68.65 - 68.87]	
1) Has the owner or operator documented that equipment complies with recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices? [68.65(d)(2)]	No
2) If the emergency contact information required at 68.160(b)(6) has changed since June 21, 2004, did the owner or operator submit corrected information within thirty days of the change? [68.195(b)]	No
<p>1. Description: On May 24, 2017, an authorized representative of the EPA conducted an inspection of the George's Chicken, LLC facility located at 19992 Senedo Road in Edinburg, VA, to determine compliance with the Risk Management Plan (RMP) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 68 under Section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act (the Act or CAA). EPA found that the Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 112(r) of the Act, specifically: (1) Piping penetrations in the walls of the machinery room were not sealed in accordance with IIAR 2-2014. The distance from the termination of the pressure relief device discharge piping for the high pressure receiver to the air intake plenum for the lower level machinery room was less than 20 feet required by IIAR 2-2014. (2) The owner/operator failed to correct the RMP for a change of emergency contact information within one month.</p>	

Adjusted Penalty = Unadjusted Penalty X Multiplier

The Unadjusted Penalty is calculated by adding up all the penalties listed on the Risk Management Program, Alleged Violations and Proposed Penalty Sheet.

The service size (population)/multiplier are the factors that determine the adjusted penalty for government entities.

The Size-Threshold Quantity multiplier is a factor that considers the number of employees and how many times above the threshold quantity for private industry.

RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM PENALTY WORKSHEET
(ENCLOSURE #2)

Facility Name:	George's Chicken, LLC
----------------	-----------------------

EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT PENALTY MATRIX

MULTIPLIER FACTORS FOR CALCULATING PROPOSED PENALTIES
FOR VIOLATIONS FOUND DURING RMP INSPECTIONS

<u>Governmental Entities</u>			
(Primarily public drinking water and wastewater systems)			
Total Population Served	<u>1-5*</u>	<u>>5-10*</u>	<u>>10*</u>
1-10,000	<u>0.2</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>0.6</u>
10,001-100,000	<u>0.4</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>0.8</u>
>100,000	<u>0.6</u>	<u>0.8</u>	<u>1.0</u>

***Largest Multiple of Threshold Quantity of any Regulated Chemical(s) on Site.**

The Proposed Penalty is the amount of the non-negotiable penalty that is calculated by multiplying the total penalty with the multiplier using the service size (population) as a guide.

<u>Private Industries</u>			
# of Employees	<u>1-5*</u>	<u>>5-10*</u>	<u>>10*</u>
<u>0-9</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>0.8</u>
<u>10-100</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>0.8</u>	<u>1.0</u>
<u>>100</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>1.0</u>

***Largest Multiple of Threshold Quantity of any Regulated Chemical(s) on Site.**

The Proposed Penalty is the amount of the non-negotiable penalty that is calculated by multiplying the total penalty with the multiplier using the number of employees as a guide.

RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM PENALTY WORKSHEET
(ENCLOSURE #2-CONTINUED)

Facility Name:	George's Chicken, LLC
----------------	-----------------------

PENALTY CALCULATION:

Calculation of Unadjusted Penalty

Violations	Penalty Value
1) The owner or operator did not document that equipment complies with recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices. [68.65(d)(2)]	\$1,500.00
2) The emergency contact information required at 68.160(b)(6) changed since June 21, 2004, and the owner or operator did not submit corrected information within thirty days of the change. [68.195(b)]	\$1,000.00
Total	\$2,500.00

After totaling the penalty numbers from the Program 3 Penalty Schedule for the specified violations, an unadjusted penalty of \$2,500.00 is derived.

Calculation of Adjusted Penalty

1. Reference the multiplying factor table for a government entity (shown above) to calculate the adjusted penalty. Reference the multiplying factor table for a private industry (shown above) to calculate the adjusted penalty. The facility has 1000 employees and has approximately 20,360 pounds of Anhydrous Ammonia in a process. Using the appropriate row and column a multiplier of 1.0 is determined.

2. Use the Adjusted Penalty formula

Adjusted Penalty Calculation		
Unadjusted Penalty	X Multiplier	= Adjusted Penalty
\$2,700	1.0	\$2,500.00



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

DATE: AUG 31 2017

Subject: Expedited Penalty Action and Consent Agreement
George's Chicken, LLC
Docket #CAA-03-2017-0175

From: Karen Melvin, Director *Karen Melvin*
Hazardous Site Cleanup Division (3HS00)

To: Joseph J. Lisa *J. Lisa* 9-12-2017
Regional Judicial Officer (3RC00)

NATURE OF THE CASE

On May 24, 2017, authorized representatives of the EPA conducted an inspection of the George's Chicken, LLC facility located at 19992 Senedo Road in Edinburg, VA, to determine compliance with the Risk Management Plan (RMP) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 68 under Section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act (the Act or CAA). EPA found that the Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 112(r) of the Act, specifically:

- (1) The owner or operator did not document that equipment complies with recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices. [68.65(d)(2)]. Piping penetrations in the walls of the machinery room were not sealed in accordance with IIAR 2-2014. The distance from the termination of the pressure relief device discharge piping for the high pressure receiver to the air intake plenum for the lower level machinery room was less than 20 feet required by IIAR 2-2014.
- (2) The emergency contact information required at 68.160(b)(6) changed since June 21, 2004, and the owner or operator did not submit corrected information within thirty days of the change. [68.195(b)]

The adjusted RMP penalty for the Respondent as set forth in the Expedited Penalty Action and Consent Agreement ("Consent Agreement") is \$2,500.00. The violations are listed in the attached Risk Management Program, Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations and Proposed Penalty form.

The use of the expedited settlement approach for violations of the Risk Management Program was approved in a January 5, 2004, policy memo from Mr. John Peter Suarez, EPA's former Assistant Administrator for Enforcement and Compliance Assurance and updated December 20, 2013 in a memo from Rosemarie A. Kelly, Director, Waste and Chemical Enforcement Division, Office of Civil Enforcement, and updated November 24, 2014 in a memo from Cynthia Giles, Former Assistant Administrator, Enforcement and Compliance Assurance. The policies describe certain criteria that should be considered to determine whether an expedited settlement approach is appropriate. These

criteria were used to determine that an expedited settlement approach was appropriate in the present matter.

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

The Respondent has agreed to settle its case for the above referenced violations by signing the Consent Agreement and paying the penalty as set forth in the Consent Agreement. In addition, the Respondent has corrected the violations previously noted.

We recommend that this settlement be executed, as such settlement is, for the reasons set forth above, in the best interest of the government.

cc: Respondent (Bob Kenney, bob.kenney@georgesinc.com)



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
 REGION III
 1650 Arch Street
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029**

DATE:

In the Matter of:)	EPA Docket No.:
George's Chicken, LLC)	CAA-03-2017-0175
19992 Senedo Road)	
Edinburg, VA 22824))	
)	
Respondent,)	
)	Proceedings under Section
George's Chicken, LLC)	113(d)(1) of the Clean Air Act, 42
19992 Senedo Road)	U.S.C. § 7413(d)(1).
Edinburg, VA 22824)	
)	
Facility.)	
)	

RECEIVED
 2017 SEP 12 PM 3:05
 REGIONAL HEARING CLERK
 EPA REGION III PHILADELPHIA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that on the date provided below, I hand-delivered and filed the original of Complainant's, the United States Environmental Protection Agency's, Expedited Penalty Action and Consent Agreement ("Consent Agreement") with the Regional Hearing Clerk, EPA Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029, and that true and correct copies of the Consent Agreement, were sent to:

Via certified mail, return receipt requested

9/12/17
Date

Michael Welsh
Michael Welsh, P.E. (3HS61)